

MINUTES
Monday, October 18, 2021
Meeting of the CJC Senate

Attending: Angela Bradbery, Cynthia Morton, Churchill Roberts, Mickey Nall, Carla Fisher, Spiro Kiouisis, Lissy Calienes, Tim Sorel, Josh Merrill, Harrison Hove, Ted Bridis, Randy Wright.

Walsh-Childers called the meeting to order at 10:40 a.m. The minutes of the Sept. 20, 2021, meeting were approved unanimously. (Motion by Nall, second by Roberts).

Merrill described the three proposals UF's Classroom Support division have provided for technical support in Weimer Hall. The first option would require the CJC to bring the 15 classrooms in question up to Classroom Support's equipment standard at a cost of about \$57,000, an expense that would recur every 5 years. In addition, we would sign an annual service contract at a cost of \$15,050/year. This option would enable CJC to maintain full control over scheduling for all 15 classrooms.

Under Option 2, CJC would maintain scheduling control over nine classrooms (1070, 2008, 2058, 3024, 3028, 3032, 3215, 3219, 3324), while the other six classrooms (G030, 1074, 1078, 1090, 1098, 3020) would be given to registrar control, although CJC would still have priority for the first round of scheduling. CJC would have to bring the nine classrooms under our control up to the Classroom Support standard, at a cost of about \$36,000; our annual service contract would cost about \$10,000, but that would give us Classroom Support assistance with all 15 classrooms.

The final option would be for CJC to maintain control over all 15 classrooms without signing a service contract with Classroom Support. Merrill said this would require hiring an additional employee at approximately \$80,000/year plus benefits.

Hove reported that the Technology Committee had discussed the options, although only three voting members were present. Two members said their chairs believed giving up scheduling control of any of the classrooms would cause serious problems. The committee members felt that the department chairs needed to be involved in this decision because faculty don't know how classroom

scheduling works. Nall moved that the Senate should endorse Option 1; Bradbery seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Sorel said he met with a group of non-tenure-track faculty (including Nall, Calienes, Natalie Asorey and Herb Lowe) to discuss the promotion guidelines for tenure-track faculty. Several individuals expressed dissatisfaction with the process of developing these guidelines. Sorel noted that much of the language in these guidelines must be included to match university promotion guidelines; however, he had stressed to the group that individual faculty need not discuss elements of the guidelines that do not fit their own situations. In any case, the Tenure & Promotion Committee would like to move the current draft of the guidelines forward for approval at the December meeting of the full faculty. Kiouisis noted that the promotion guidelines are separate from the issue of non-tenure-track faculty titles, which is another concern for the non-tenure-track faculty. The senate needs to make a recommendation about changes to titles.

Morton reported on plans to educate faculty about the shared governance process, with a goal of renewing interest in committee service, etc., because so many faculty have joined the CJC since the first constitution was ratified 15 years ago. She will make presentations to faculty at meetings of each department to ensure that faculty understand what each committee does. The goal would be to survey faculty about their committee interests late in the fall semester or very early in the spring semester, so that by the end of January, the elections committee could produce a preliminary slate, which the department chairs would be asked to review. This would enable a final slate to be sent to the faculty for a vote in February. Nall noted that it would be helpful to clarify for faculty which committees have members appointed, rather than elected.

Because time for the meeting had run out, discussion of the development of a new process for evaluating CJC administrators was postponed for a later meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 a.m. (Bradbery moved; Roberts seconded).